|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Evaluation Purpose: | The purpose of the evaluation is to assess the Australian NGO Cooperation Program (ANCP) as a modality. It seeks to identify ways to strengthen the management and implementation arrangements of the ANCP, particularly in response to the changing development and NGO context. |
| Making a submission: | Written submissions will be used to dig deeply into specific questions and gather insights from Australian NGOs to inform the evaluation findings. Using this template, submissions should be completed in line with the instructions provided below and submitted via the online platform using this link: [ANCP Written Submissions](https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/HGHRHG8) |
| What is a submission: | As part of the evaluation, the evaluation team is asking for written submissions addressing the terms of reference. The terms of reference for this evaluation (within the evaluation plan) together with further information on data collection methods and opportunities for engagement are on the [DFAT - ACFID ANCP Evaluation | ACFID](https://acfid.asn.au/our-focus/dfat-acfid-ancp-evaluation). |
| Who can make a submission: | Any interested individual or organisation receiving ANCP funding from the Australian Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade can make a submission. Several people or organisations can make a joint submission. |
| Process of a submission: | Once the submission has been received, it is assessed by the Evaluation Team. You may receive confirmation that it has been accepted, or that there are issues with your document that need to be resolved. Once a submission is accepted, personal details are removed and the document thematically analysed for information for the purposes of the evaluation. All individuals and organisations will remain de-identified (unless agreed otherwise). |
| Who should I contact if I have a question about lodging a submission: | Amy Gildea, Tetra Tech Evaluation Team Leader  [Amy.gildea@tetratech.com](mailto:Amy.gildea@tetratech.com) |
| Deadline for submissions: | Close of business (5:00pm AEST) 22 April 2022 |

# Instructions

Thank you for taking the time to provide responses to the following questions to help inform the evaluation of the ANCP modality. Written submissions are part of the formal evaluation methodology.  Submissions must:

* respect the word limits for responses for each question
* be relevant to the terms of reference (refer to Evaluation Plan on the ACFID website)
* be received by the Evaluation Team before the due date.

Partial responses will be accepted.

# Submission details

|  |
| --- |
| **Your contact details (optional)** |
| **Name:**  **Organisation:**  **Address:**  **Email:** |

# Value proposition (maximum 100 words)

What do you see as the key contribution that ANCP makes to:

a) delivering on the Australian aid programme priorities?

b) public diplomacy in Australia and abroad?

c) what are the factors that either inhibit or enable this?

(Areas to consider in your response include how your projects contribute to development cooperation and public diplomacy? How do your relationships contribute to development cooperation and public diplomacy? And to what extent was your ANCP project adaptive to shifts in DFAT priorities?)

# About ANCP (maximum 100 words)

What do you think are the strengths and weaknesses of the ANCP modality in:

a) supporting development effectiveness?

b) supporting efficiency?

# Business processes (maximum 250 words)

Thinking about the various business processes that exist within ANCP (accreditation, MEL, reporting, stakeholder management):

1. what do you think works well and why?
2. what does not work well and why?
3. what process improvements do you think could be made to strengthen and streamline the ANCP modality into the future?
4. what are the skills, systems and capabilities that NGOs need to have to be able to make these adaptations?
5. what are the skills, systems and capabilities that DFAT needs to have to be able to make these adaptations?

(Areas to consider in your response include, but are not limited to, spaces for greater engagement of NGOs in policy dialogue or to draw on lessons from NGO programming; Do funding agreements provide for the time it takes to do this?)